

Math 210B Lecture 12 Notes

Daniel Raban

February 4, 2019

1 Tensor Products of Algebras and Homomorphism Groups

1.1 Tensor products of algebras

Let A, B, C be R -algebras, where R is a commutative ring. Let M and N be R -balanced A - B and B - C bimodules, respectively.

Definition 1.1. An R -balanced bimodule M is a module such that $rm = rm$ for all $r \in R, m \in M$.

This is equivalent to M being a $A \otimes_R B^{\text{op}}$ -module. Then $M \otimes_B N$ becomes an R -balanced A - C bimodule:

$$a(m \otimes n) = am \otimes n, \quad (m \otimes n)c = m \otimes nc.$$

We can also take tensor products of R -algebras, to get an R -algebra $A \otimes_R B$. We can define this by

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (a' \otimes b') = aa' \otimes bb'.$$

Proposition 1.1. *Multiplication is well-defined.*

Proof. We want to construct $A \times B \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A \otimes_R B)$ sending $(a, b) \mapsto \varphi_{a,b} = (a' \otimes b' \mapsto aa' \otimes bb')$. To show that $\varphi_{a,b}$ is well defined, we want a map $A \times B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ sending $(a', b') \mapsto aa' \otimes bb'$. By the universal property of the tensor product, we get a unique map $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$, which we can set to be $\varphi_{a,b}$.

Now we want to show that our original map is bilinear. Check that

$$(ra_1 + a_2, b) \mapsto \varphi_{ra_1+a_2,b} = r\varphi_{a_1,b} + r\varphi_{a_2,b}.$$

By the universal property, we get a map $A \otimes_R B \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A \otimes_R B)$ sending $a \otimes b \mapsto (a' \otimes b' \mapsto aa' \otimes bb')$. So then we get a map $A \otimes_R A \otimes_R B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B$ sending $(a \otimes b, a; \otimes b') \mapsto aa' \otimes bb'$. So the operation is well-defined. \square

Example 1.1. Let R be a commutative ring. Then $R[x] \otimes_R R[y] \cong R[x, y]$ by specifying $(x^i, y^j) \mapsto x^i y^j$ and extending this map to be bilinear. This map is surjective because we get every monomial in $R[x, y]$. Since $R[x, y]$ is free on the monomials $x^i y^j$, we can define an inverse map defined by $x^i y^j \mapsto x^i \otimes y^j$.

Example 1.2. Let G be a group. The R -group ring of G , $R[G]$, is the set of sums $\sum_{g \in G} a_g [g]$, where $a_g \in R$ and $a_g = 0$ for all but finitely many g . We can define multiplication on this by extending the multiplication on monomials defined by $[g] \cdot [h] = [gh]$.

1.2 Homomorphism groups

Example 1.3. Let M, N be R -modules. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an R -module: Let $\phi, \psi \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$. Then we can define $(r\phi)(m) := \phi(rm) = r\phi(m)$ and $(\phi + \psi)(m) = \phi(m) + \psi(m)$. These are still R -module homomorphisms:

$$(r\phi)(m)(sm) = \phi(rsm) = \phi(srm) = s\phi(rm) = s(r\phi)(m)$$

for $r, s \in R$.

Remark 1.1. If M, N are A -modules, then $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is an R -module but not an A -module.

Example 1.4. Let M be an R -balanced A - B bimodule, and let N be an R -balanced A - C bimodule. Then $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$ is a B - C bimodule by defining

$$(b\varphi)(m) := \varphi(mb), \quad (\varphi c)(m) = \varphi(m)c.$$

Check that everything is balanced.

$\text{Hom}_A(\cdot, \cdot) : A \otimes_R B^{\text{op-mod}} \rightarrow B \times A \otimes_R B^{\text{op-mod}} \rightarrow B \otimes_R C^{\text{op-mod}}$ is a bifunctor.

$$\text{Hom}_A(M \prod_{i \in I} N_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_A(M, N_i).$$

$$\text{Hom}_A(\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, N) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_A(M_i, N).$$

Definition 1.2. If F is a field, and V is an F vector space, we can define the **dual vector space**, $V^* = \text{Hom}_F(V, F)$.

1.3 Dual vector spaces

If we have a map $f : V \rightarrow W$, we get a map $f^* : W^* \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $f^*(\varphi)(v) = \varphi \circ f(v)$, so $V \mapsto V^*$ is a contravariant functor from F -vector spaces to F -vector spaces.

If V has basis v_1, \dots, v_n , then there is a **dual basis** $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ of V^* given by

$$\varphi_i(v_j) = \delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

So $V \cong V^*$ if V is finite dimensional. This is not the case if V is infinite-dimensional.

The functor $V \mapsto V^{**}$ covariant. We get $\Phi : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ given by $\Phi(v)(f) = f(v)$. Check that Φ is F -linear.

Proposition 1.2. $\Phi : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ is injective.

Proof. If $\Phi(v) = 0$, then $f(v) = 0$ for all $f \in V^*$; if $v \neq 0$, extend v to a basis B . Then there exists $f_v \in V^*$ such that $f_v(v) = 1$ and $f_v(w) = 0$ for all $w \in B$ with $w \neq v$. This is a contradiction. \square

However, Φ is not always an isomorphism. If $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} F$, then $V = \text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} F, F) = \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(F, F) = \prod_{i \in I} F$, which is bigger than V . So V^{**} will be even bigger.

Proposition 1.3. If W is finite dimensional over F , then $\text{Hom}_F(V, W) \cong V^* \otimes_F W$ via $f \otimes w \mapsto (v \mapsto f(v)w)$.

Proof. $W = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n Fw_i$. Then

$$V^* \otimes_F \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V^* \otimes_F F \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V^* \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}(V, F) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \bigoplus_{i=1}^n F).$$

This isomorphism is precisely the map you get from composing these isomorphisms. \square

1.4 Adjointness of Hom and \otimes

Theorem 1.1. Let A, B, C be R -algebras, and let M, N, L be R -balanced A - B , B - C , and A - C bimodules, respectively. Then $\text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_B N, L) \cong \text{Hom}_B(N, \text{Hom}_A(M, L))$ as right C -modules. Moreover, these are natural in M, N, L . In fact, we have $t_M : B \otimes_R C^{\text{op}}\text{-mod} \rightarrow A \otimes_R C^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R N \\ \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes_R \lambda \\ N' & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R N' \end{array}$$

and $h_M : A \otimes_R C^{\text{op}}\text{-mod} \rightarrow B \otimes_R C^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$ such that $\text{Hom}_A(tM(N), L) \cong \text{Hom}_B(N, h_M(L))$ is natural in N and L ; i.e. t_M is left adjoint to h_M .

We will prove this next time.